JONATHAN REINSCHMIDT, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, VS. ZILLOW, INC., RICHARD N. BARTON, SPENCER M. RASCOFF, LLOYD D. FRINK, CHAD M. COHEN and DAVID A. BEITEL, Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

No.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS



1918 EIGHTH AVENUE, SUITE 3300 • SEATTLE, WA 98101 (206) 623-7292 • FAX (206) 623-0594

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a securities fraud class action on behalf of all persons who purchased the common stock of Zillow, Inc. ("Zillow" or the "Company") between February 15, 2012 and November 6, 2012, inclusive (the "Class Period"). This action is brought against Zillow and certain of its officers and/or directors for violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "1934 Act").
- 2. Zillow is a real estate information marketplace. The Company provides information about homes, real estate listings and mortgages through its Website and mobile applications, enabling homeowners, buyers, sellers and renters to connect with real estate and mortgage professionals.

II. SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

- 3. During the Class Period, defendants issued materially false and misleading statements regarding the Company's business practices and financial results. Specifically, defendants concealed the difficulties Zillow was having signing up new real estate agents as subscribers and the churn it was experiencing in existing subscribers. As a result of defendants' false statements, Zillow's stock traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period, reaching a high of \$46.17 per share on September 20, 2012. While Zillow's stock price was inflated, Company insiders sold 3.1 million shares of their own Zillow stock for proceeds of nearly \$115 million, including \$103 million worth of stock sold by the officers named as defendants herein. The Company also was able to raise \$156 million in proceeds through a follow-on offering, just eight weeks before reducing projections and just 30 days after assuring investors that the Form S-3 Registration Statement was not intended for a follow-on offering but was just part of "good housekeeping."
- 4. On November 5, 2012, after the market closed, Zillow issued a press release announcing its third quarter 2012 financial results. Additionally, Zillow provided an update to its fourth quarter and full year 2012 revenue guidance, revealing revenue expectations in the



26

range of \$30.0 to \$31.0 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and revenue guidance for the full year 2012 of \$113.0 million. This guidance fell below analysts' estimates. Furthermore, Zillow announced that its estimates of home valuation, referred to as "Zestimates," had lost a large display advertiser, Foreclosure.com, and therefore defendants expected weakness in the Company's display advertising business.

- 5. These disclosures caused Zillow stock to collapse \$6.22 per share to close at \$28.15 per share on November 6, 2012, a one-day decline of nearly 18% on volume of 7.4 million shares.
- 6. As a result of defendants' false statements, Zillow stock traded at artificially inflated levels during the Class Period. However, after the above revelations seeped into the market, the Company's shares were hammered by massive sales, sending them down 39% from their Class Period high.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Jurisdiction is conferred by §27 of the 1934 Act. The claims asserted herein arise under §§10(b) and 20(a) of the 1934 Act and SEC Rule 10b-5.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to §27 of the 1934 Act. Many of the false and misleading statements were made in or issued from this district.
- 9. Zillow maintains its principal executive offices at 1301 Second Avenue, Floor 31, Seattle, Washington 98101.
- 10. Certain of the acts and conduct complained of herein, including the dissemination of materially false and misleading information to the investing public, occurred in this district.
- 11. In connection with the acts and conduct alleged in this complaint, defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including, but not limited to, the mails and interstate wire and telephone communications.



IV. PARTIES

- 12. Plaintiff Jonathan Reinschmidt purchased the common stock of Zillow during the Class Period as set forth in the certification attached hereto and was damaged as the result of defendants' wrongdoing as alleged in this complaint.
- 13. Defendant Zillow engages in a real estate information marketplace in the United States. It is headquartered at 1301 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington, within this judicial district. Defendant Zillow trades on the NASDAQ under the ticker symbol "Z".
- 14. Defendant Richard N. Barton ("Barton") co-founded Zillow. Defendant Barton is, and at all relevant times has been, the Company's Executive Chairman of the Board. During the Class Period, Barton sold over 1.1 million shares of his Zillow stock for proceeds of over \$42.2 million.
- 15. Defendant Spencer M. Rascoff ("Rascoff") was one of Zillow's founding employees and is, and at all relevant times has been, the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and a director. During the Class Period, Rascoff sold 54,500 shares of his Zillow stock for proceeds of \$2.1 million.
- 16. Defendant Lloyd D. Frink ("Frink") co-founded Zillow. Defendant Frink is, and at all relevant times has been, the Company's Vice Chairman. During the Class Period, Frink sold over 1.3 million shares of his Zillow stock for proceeds of nearly \$48.0 million.
- 17. Defendant Chad M. Cohen ("Cohen") is, and at all relevant times has been, the Company's Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"). During the Class Period, Cohen sold 50,791 shares of his Zillow stock for proceeds of over \$1.76 million.
- 18. Defendant David A. Beitel ("Beitel") is, and at all relevant times has been, the Company's Chief Technology Officer. During the Class Period, Beitel sold 257,500 shares of his Zillow stock for proceeds of \$9.2 million.
- 19. The defendants referenced above in ¶¶14-18 are referred to herein as the "Individual Defendants."

- 3



20. The Individual Defendants, because of their positions with the Company, possessed the power and authority to control the contents of Zillow's quarterly reports, press releases and presentations to securities analysts, money and portfolio managers and institutional investors, *i.e.*, the market. They were provided with copies of the Company's reports and press releases alleged herein to be misleading prior to or shortly after their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions with the Company, and their access to material non-public information available to them but not to the public, the Individual Defendants knew that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to and were being concealed from the public and that the positive representations being made were then materially false and misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements pleaded herein.

V. FRAUDULENT SCHEME AND COURSE OF BUSINESS

21. Defendants are liable for: (i) making false statements; or (ii) failing to disclose adverse facts known to them about Zillow. Defendants' fraudulent scheme and course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit on purchasers of Zillow common stock was a success, as it: (i) deceived the investing public regarding Zillow's prospects and business; (ii) artificially inflated the price of Zillow common stock; (iii) caused plaintiff and other members of the Class to purchase Zillow common stock at inflated prices; and (iv) permitted Company insiders to sell 3.1 million shares of their Zillow stock at artificially inflated prices for proceeds of nearly \$115 million.

VI. BACKGROUND

22. Zillow is a real estate information marketplace. The Company provides information about homes, real estate listings and mortgages through its Website and mobile applications, enabling homeowners, buyers, sellers and renters to connect with real estate and mortgage professionals. The Company's database has more than 100 million U.S. homes, including homes for sale, homes for rent and homes not currently on the market. Individuals and



businesses that use Zillow have updated information on more than 33 million homes and added more than 50 million home photos. These profiles include detailed information about homes, such as property facts, listing information and purchase and sale data.

23. While reporting subscriber numbers and emphasizing the Company's growth in subscribers, defendants concealed subscriber churn and cancellations, forcing investors to rely on defendants' statements about growth and customer satisfaction.

VII. DEFENDANTS' FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS ISSUED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

24. On February 15, 2012, Zillow issued a press release announcing its fourth quarter and full year 2011 financial results. The Company reported net income of \$0.9 million, or \$0.03 diluted earnings per share ("EPS"), and revenue of \$19.9 million for the fourth quarter of 2011. The Company reported average monthly unique users grew to 23.5 million subscribers for its fourth quarter 2011. The Company additionally reported net income of \$1.1 million and revenue of \$66.1 million for the full year 2011. The release stated in part:

"The fourth quarter was another excellent one for Zillow, capping off an outstanding year that further strengthens our market leadership position," said Spencer Rascoff, chief executive officer of Zillow. "Our continued product innovation, particularly on mobile and in expanding the types of services we offer real estate professionals, is fueling our growth and expanding Zillow's total addressable market. Additionally, our revenue model spans across the Web and mobile, which positions us exceptionally well for further revenue gains from mobile in 2012 and beyond."

25. After releasing its 2011 fourth quarter and full year financial results on February 15, 2012, Zillow hosted a conference call with analysts, investors and media representatives, during which defendant Rascoff represented the following:

The fourth quarter was an excellent one for Zillow, capping off an outstanding year. During the quarter we delivered record performance across key operating and financial metrics that exceeded even our own expectations. In the fourth quarter, total revenue grew 108% year over year to a record \$19.9 million, resulting in EBITDA in the quarter of \$3.31 million, or 17% margin.



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2

This is an important distinction, because while the number of Premier Agents nearly doubled year over year, 2011 Marketplace revenue, which is predominantly derived from our Premier Agent program, grew more than twice that rate, increasing 219% year over year. We are focused on the tremendous runway we have here in a large-market opportunity. Keep in mind we have only a small fraction of today's estimated 1.8 million real estate agents enrolled in our Premier Agent program, and an even a smaller share of the \$6 billion these agent spend to market their services. To capture this opportunity, we must simultaneously attract new agents to Zillow, while also increasing the share of wallet our existing agents invest in our platform, which is exactly what we are doing. It's also important to note that by evolving Zillow's range of services beyond just advertising, we not only increase the value and touch points we offer agents, but we also increase our total addressable market beyond the \$6 billion that relates to just advertising and marketing.

26. On May 2, 2012, Zillow issued a press release announcing its first quarter 2012 financial results. The Company reported net income of \$1.7 million, or \$0.06 diluted EPS, and revenue of \$22.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. The Company further provided its outlook for the second quarter of 2012, with expected revenue in the range of \$25.5 to \$26.5

"Mobile usage and site traffic grew substantially during the first quarter, which led to record revenue and EBITDA levels exceeding our prior outlook, and our sixth consecutive quarter of triple-digit, year-over-year revenue growth," said Spencer Rascoff, chief executive officer of Zillow. "We continue to expand our addressable market and competitive advantage as we extend our mobile leadership, launch more services for real estate professionals, and grow our mortgage and rentals marketplaces. In particular, we took a giant leap forward in growing our rentals marketplace with today's announcement that Zillow is acquiring RentJuice. This acquisition will provide us with a comprehensive suite of business and marketing services for rental professionals, similar to what took us years to build and grow in our parallel marketplace for real estate agents."

27. After releasing its first quarter 2012 financial results on May 2, 2012, Zillow hosted a conference call with analysts, investors and media representatives, during which defendants represented the following:



million. The release stated in part:

[RASCOFF:] Our financial results in Q1 exhibited solid execution as we exceeded our outlook for revenue and EBITDA. Flow through of high[er] than expected revenue led to EBITDA of \$5.4 million in the quarter, representing a 24% EBITDA margin.

These results demonstrate the leverage potential in our model over the long-term. We have previously discussed the 30% to 35% EBITDA margin at a \$200 million to \$250 million revenue run rate, and that the road to that margin level would not be smooth. We have had some quarters without performance on margin, and then in subsequent quarters our investments would increase, thereby reducing margin in the quarter.

* * *

[COHEN:] Also as a reminder, in the first quarter of 2011 we ended offering 12-month subscriptions to our Platinum service, so as of February 2012 the [sic] only offer a six-month subscriptions [sic], which give us more flexibility and pricing, given that we continue to see very healthy growth in our traffic metrics.

28. On August 7, 2012, Zillow issued a press release announcing its second quarter 2012 financial results. The Company reported net income of \$1.3 million, or \$0.04 diluted EPS, and revenue of \$27.8 million for the second quarter ended June 30, 2012. The Company further provided its outlook for the third quarter of 2012, with expected revenue in the range of \$30.0 to \$31.0 million. The release stated in part:

"Zillow had an excellent second quarter as record usage and effective monetization on mobile and Web propelled revenue and adjusted EBITDA ahead of our outlook," said Spencer Rascoff, chief executive officer of Zillow. "We continue to extend our leadership and expand our three core marketplaces in real estate, mortgages and rentals with products and services that delight consumers and help local professionals better manage and market their businesses. I'm particularly pleased with the momentum in forging strong partnerships with high-profile real estate brokerages, and the industry's reception to our new products, such as our award-winning Premier Agent Websites, which help local professionals manage and market their businesses."

29. After releasing its second quarter 2012 financial results on August 7, 2012, Zillow hosted a conference call with analysts, investors and media representatives, during which defendants represented the following:



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

[RASCOFF:] Turning now to our results in the second quarter, we once again set records in quarterly revenue and usage across mobile and web. Total revenue for the second quarter was \$27.8 million, increasing 75% compared to the same period last year. Our marketplace revenue category, which includes our local subscription-based Premier Agent business, as well as Zillow mortgage marketplace, increased 102% versus last year to \$19.6 million. Marketplace revenue now comprises 71% of total revenues, up from 61% in the same period last year, a desirable mix shift towards more endemic and useful advertising for our consumers.

* * *

During the month of July, 37 million unique users visited our Zillow, Inc. mobile apps and website which is an all-time record, and represented growth of 59% over July 2011.

By exceeding our outlook for revenue, our EBITDA benefited from the operating leverage in our model. Flow-through of higher than expected revenue led to EBITDA of \$5.3 million in the quarter, representing a 19% EBITDA margin, and 6% point up side variance from our guidance. These results, once again, demonstrate the incremental margin potential in our model over the long-term.

* * *

[COHEN:] On August 1, we became S-3 eligible, and today filed a shelf with the SEC as a matter of good housekeeping, and to provide ourselves with flexibility in our capital structure in order to remain prepared for future considerations of both our operational needs and potential strategic opportunities in the marketplace.

30. Additionally on the August 7, 2012 earnings conference call, defendant Rascoff also addressed a Registration Statement shelf offering, stating in part:

I can take the shelf offering. So basically, the – our perspective is that after a year after being public, as a matter of good housekeeping we filed the shelf, which is pretty customary for companies at this stage. We haven't made any decisions about a follow-on at this time. So just to be clear, for those on the call, who maybe don't know there has been a shelf and follow-on, what we filed today is not a follow-on offering. It's a shelf statement with the SEC, which says – it basically registers shares. So if we decide to do a follow-on later, then the shares can be sold more rapidly. Basically, we can complete a follow-on more expeditiously.



We are always thinking about the optimal capital structure for the Company, and the shelf gives us more flexibility. The size of the shelf, we registered 150 million shares, and the way shelf offerings work is they expire after three years, and you're supposed to register kind of what you think is reasonable to sell over a two-year period potentially. And so, that explains why we chose 150 million. Again, it's a pretty customary thing for a Company on the one-year anniversary post-IPO to do this, just so you can do a follow-on if you choose, more expeditiously. We have not decided whether, or not to do a follow-on.

- 31. On or about September 6, 2012, Zillow conducted a public offering on behalf of Zillow and certain principal and selling stockholders, selling 4.0 million shares of Zillow common stock to the public at \$43 per share, raising \$156 million in proceeds for the Company. The selling shareholders, consisting mainly of officers or directors of the Company or entities related to the officers and directors, sold 575,000 shares in the public offering.
- 32. The Prospectus issued as part of the public offering, which was finalized on September 6, 2012, formed part of the Form S-3 Registration Statement. The Prospectus described Zillow's business as follows:

Real estate, rental and mortgage professionals are a critical part of the home-related marketplaces. We enable consumers to find and connect with local professionals best suited to meet their needs.

Our real estate marketplaces benefit from network effects. As more consumers access our mobile applications and websites, more real estate, rental and mortgage professionals contribute content to distinguish themselves, thereby making our marketplaces more useful and attracting additional consumers.

- 33. In fact, the real estate professionals that Zillow actually connected to Website visitors were not so much those "best suited to meet their needs," but rather were those who had paid for placement on Zillow's Website.
- 34. The Prospectus also represented what the Company claimed were its "Competitive Advantages:"
 - *Mobile Leadership and Monetization*. Shopping for a home is a far more meaningful consumer experience when it occurs curbside, untethered and on location, so we have developed and operate the most popular suite of mobile real



estate applications across all major mobile platforms. In July 2012, 168 million homes, or 63 homes per second, were viewed on Zillow on a mobile device, representing over 50% of our home views. Our suite of mobile applications includes 13 distinct real estate, rental and mortgage applications that enable people to access and analyze information where, when and how they want it. We monetize our marketplace businesses on our mobile platform in the same way we do on our web platform.

- Multiple Robust Home-Related Marketplaces. We have created trusted and transparent marketplaces in real estate, rentals and mortgages where consumers can identify and connect with local professionals that are best suited to meet their needs. Our living database of homes provides a foundation on which we can build new consumer and professional marketplaces in other home-related categories.
- *Technology Solutions for Professionals*. We offer a suite of marketing and technology solutions to help real estate, rental and mortgage professionals grow their businesses and personal brands.
- Consumer-Oriented Mortgage Marketplace. Unlike other sources of mortgage rate quotes, in Zillow Mortgage Marketplace consumers can anonymously submit mortgage loan requests and receive an unlimited number of personalized mortgage quotes directly from hundreds of consumer-rated lenders. Consumers can then choose to contact those lenders at their discretion. In the first seven months of 2012, consumers submitted 6.6 million mortgage loan requests in Zillow Mortgage Marketplace.
- 35. On September 20, 2012, Zillow's stock reached its Class Period high, closing at \$46.17 per share.
- 36. Then, on November 5, 2012, after the market closed, Zillow issued a press release announcing its third quarter 2012 financial results. Additionally, Zillow provided an update to its fourth quarter and full year 2012 revenue guidance, revealing revenue expectations in the range of \$30.0 to \$31.0 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and revenue guidance for the full year 2012 of \$113.0 million. This guidance fell below analysts' estimates. Furthermore, Zillow announced that its estimates of home valuation, referred to as "Zestimates," had lost a large



display advertiser, Foreclosure.com, and therefore defendants expected weakness in the Company's display advertising business. The release stated in part:

"Zillow had another great quarter with record usage across mobile and Web. In fact, we reached a major milestone recently, topping 1 billion home views on Zillow Mobile through the first three quarters of 2012," said Spencer Rascoff, chief executive officer of Zillow. "During the quarter we also expanded our suite of technology tools and services for professionals. Last week we announced the acquisition of Buyfolio, a collaborative shopping tool that can increase the conversion rates for agents, and further add value to our successful Premier Agent program. We've made another important leap today with the announcement of our planned acquisition of Mortech, which will further extend the services we provide to the mortgage industry."

37. After releasing its third quarter 2012 financial results on November 5, 2012, Zillow hosted a conference call with analysts, investors and media representatives, during which defendants finally discussed churn and real estate cancellations, while continuing to conceal subscriber metrics:

[COHEN:] Another metric we are often asked about is churn. Over time, our churn has been stable and predictable, as well as healthy and appropriate in relation to our operating history. The layers of variables which comprise the metric have many useful permutations, such as by customer segment, contract size, or cohort. We have chosen not to disclose our churn, due to its complex nature and for competitive reasons, but let me give you insight into this metric. Agents who cancel their subscription were receiving, on average, about 15 leads per month, at an approximate \$17 cost per lead. This is similar to the number of leads and the cost per lead of agents who don't churn.

The number one reason agents say for cancelling is that they had low ROI on the program. In other words, they didn't convert enough of their annualized 180 leads per year into transactions.

* * *

So this will, at the end of the day, create a far more compelling consumer experience than that of our partner, and longer term we will have a really nice financial benefit for launching this. But in the short term, you will see a stepdown in revenue as it relates to terminating this relationship. I would say the second point is that every year, and you saw this in 2009, 2010, and 2011, every year we see a sequential seasonal stepdown in display advertisement in the fourth quarter, and that's not unique to this year.



2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

- 38. These disclosures caused Zillow's stock to collapse \$6.22 per share to close at \$28.15 per share on November 6, 2012, a one-day decline of nearly 18% on volume of 7.4 million shares.
- 39. In fact, Zillow's subscribers were not as satisfied with the services as suggested by defendants' statements about growth. Because Zillow concealed subscriber churn and cancellation metrics, investors were forced to rely on defendants' representations.
- 40. As a result of defendants' false statements, Zillow's stock traded at inflated levels during the Class Period. However, after the above revelations seeped into the market, the Company's shares were hammered by massive sales, sending them down 39% from their Class Period high.

VIII. LOSS CAUSATION

41. During the Class Period, as detailed herein, the defendants made false and misleading statements and engaged in a scheme to deceive the market and a course of conduct that artificially inflated the price of Zillow common stock and operated as a fraud or deceit on Class Period purchasers of Zillow common stock by misrepresenting the Company's business and prospects. Later, when the defendants' prior misrepresentations and fraudulent conduct became apparent to the market, the price of Zillow common stock fell precipitously, as the prior artificial inflation came out of the price over time. As a result of their purchases of Zillow common stock during the Class Period, plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered economic loss, i.e., damages, under the federal securities laws.

IX. NO SAFE HARBOR

- 42. Zillow's verbal "Safe Harbor" warnings accompanying its oral forward-looking statements ("FLS") issued during the Class Period were ineffective to shield those statements from liability.
- 43. The defendants are also liable for any false or misleading FLS pleaded because, at the time each FLS was made, the speaker knew the FLS was false or misleading and the FLS



13

14

15 16

17 18

20

19

22

21

23 24

25

26

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

was authorized and/or approved by an executive officer of Zillow who knew that the FLS was false. None of the historic or present tense statements made by defendants were assumptions underlying or relating to any plan, projection or statement of future economic performance, as they were not stated to be such assumptions underlying or relating to any projection or statement of future economic performance when made, nor were any of the projections or forecasts made by defendants expressly related to or stated to be dependent on those historic or present tense statements when made.

X. **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 44. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Zillow common stock during the Class Period (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class are defendants and their families, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which defendants have or had a controlling interest.
- 45. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The disposition of their claims in a class action will provide substantial benefits to the parties and the Court. Zillow has nearly 26 million shares of Class A common stock and 7.5 million shares of Class B of stock outstanding, owned by hundreds if not thousands of persons.
- 46. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved in this case. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class which predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members include:
 - whether the 1934 Act was violated by defendants; a.
 - b. whether defendants omitted and/or misrepresented material facts;
- whether defendants' statements omitted material facts necessary to make c. the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading;

- d. whether defendants knew or deliberately disregarded that their statements were false and misleading;
 e. whether the price of Zillow common stock was artificially inflated; and
- f. the extent of damage sustained by Class members and the appropriate measure of damages.
- 47. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Class because plaintiff and the Class sustained damages from defendants' wrongful conduct.
- 48. Plaintiff will adequately protect the interests of the Class and has retained counsel who are experienced in class action securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests which conflict with those of the Class.
- 49. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

COUNT I For Violation of §10(B) of the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 Against All Defendants

- 50. Plaintiff incorporates ¶¶1-49 by reference.
- 51. During the Class Period, defendants disseminated or approved the false statements specified above, which they knew or deliberately disregarded were misleading in that they contained misrepresentations and failed to disclose material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.
 - 52. Defendants violated §10(b) of the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 in that they:
 - a. employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud;
- b. made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or



- c. engaged in acts, practices and a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit upon plaintiff and others similarly situated in connection with their purchases of Zillow common stock during the Class Period.
- 53. Plaintiff and the Class have suffered damages in that, in reliance on the integrity of the market, they paid artificially inflated prices for Zillow common stock. Plaintiff and the Class would not have purchased Zillow common stock at the prices they paid, or at all, if they had been aware that the market price had been artificially and falsely inflated by defendants' misleading statements.

COUNT I For Violation of §20(A) of the 1934 Act Against All Defendants

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates ¶¶1-53 by reference.
- 55. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of Zillow within the meaning of §20(a) of the 1934 Act. By virtue of their positions with the Company, and ownership of Zillow stock, the Individual Defendants had the power and authority to cause Zillow to engage in the wrongful conduct complained of herein. Zillow controlled the Individual Defendants and all of its employees. By reason of such conduct, defendants are liable pursuant to §20(a) of the 1934 Act.

XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- A. Declaring this action to be a proper class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23;
- B. Awarding plaintiff and the members of the Class damages, including interest;
- C. Awarding plaintiff reasonable costs and attorneys' fees; and
- D. Awarding such equitable/injunctive or other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

XII. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.





